

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SIR GIORGIO SANFORD CLARDY,

Case No. 3:14-cv-01055-CL

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

OFFICER BRIAN GAUNT, et al.,

Defendants.

Aiken, Chief Judge:

Magistrate Judge Clark issued his Findings and Recommendation in the above-captioned case on December 4, 2014, recommending that defendant Staton's motion to dismiss be granted and plaintiff's claims against him be dismissed. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore

Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Plaintiff filed objections to the Findings and Recommendation. Upon de novo review, I find no error with Magistrate Judge Clarke's thorough analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Clarke's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 28) issued on December 4, 2014 is ADOPTED in its entirety. Defendant Staton's Motion to Dismiss (doc. 22) is GRANTED, and plaintiff's claims against him are hereby DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 6th day of March, 2015.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ann Aiken", written over a horizontal line.

Ann Aiken
United States District Judge